

# Safety and efficacy of Wnt inhibition with a DKK1 inhibitor, DKN-01, in combination with atezolizumab in patients with advanced oesophagogastric adenocarcinoma (OGA): Phase IIa results of the WAKING trial

Fiona Turkes<sup>1</sup>, Richard Crux<sup>1</sup>, Amina Tran<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth Cartwright<sup>1</sup>, Isma Rana<sup>1</sup>, Ed Johnston<sup>1</sup>, Alan Dunlop<sup>1</sup>, Jan Thomas<sup>1</sup>, Alice Smith<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth Smyth<sup>1</sup>, Charlotte Fribbens<sup>1</sup>, Sheela Rao<sup>1</sup>, David Watkins<sup>1</sup>, Ian Chau<sup>1</sup>, Naureen Starling<sup>1</sup>, David Cunningham<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> The Royal Marsden Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

# BACKGROUND

ROYAL

MARSDEN

Cancer Charity

Dickkopf-1 (DKK1) modulates Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signalling and promotes an immunosuppressive tumour microenvironment (TME) by activating MDSCs and downregulating NK cell activity <sup>1,2,3</sup>

**1253P** 

- DKK1 is frequently overexpressed in OGA and associated with poor prognosis <sup>1,4</sup>
- DKN-01 is a DKK1 neutralising antibody
- Preclinical studies show that DKN-01 can favourably reprogram the TME by:
  - Increasing infiltration of NK cells<sup>5</sup>
  - Reducing function of MDSCs<sup>5</sup>
  - Upregulating PD-L1 expression<sup>5</sup>
- Clinical studies have shown promising response rates with DKN-01 combined with anti-PD-1 therapy ± chemotherapy in patients with microsatellite stable OGA <sup>6,7</sup>
- Patients with DKK1-high tumours appear to benefit most from these combinations <sup>6,7</sup>
- We report the safety and anti-tumour activity of DKN-01 and atezolizumab, anti-PD-L1 antibody, as the second or third-line therapy in patients with advanced OGA enrolled onto the dose escalation phase of the WAKING study

## **METHODS**



- WAKING is an open-label, single-arm phase IIa/b non-randomised trial
- Phase IIa is the dose-finding phase that followed a "3+3" design
- Primary objective: to recommend a safe and tolerable dose of DKN-01 combined with atezolizumab for use in the main efficacy phase of the study
- Tumoural DKK1 mRNA expression: assessed by RNAscope on tissue samples (Advanced Cell Diagnostics at Flagship biosciences) and assigned a tumour percentage score (TPS). TPS  $\geq$  20% was considered DKK1-high
- Tumoural MDSC level: assessed by flow cytometric analysis on peripheral blood

- Immunotherapy naïve

- MMRp/MSS

- Histologically/cytologically confirmed advanced OGA

### Key eligibility criteria

- Aged ≥18 years
- PD-L1 unselected
- PS 0-1
- $\leq 2$  prior lines

#### **Dosing cohorts**

•			
Drug	Dose level 0	Dose level +1	Dose level -1
DKN-01	300mg	600mg	150mg
Atezolizumab	840mg	840mg	840mg

# **RESULTS**

#### Treatment

- 12 patients were treat phase IIa
- One patient was still treatment at data cut (16<sup>th</sup> August 2022)
- 7 patients discontinue to radiological progres
- 4 patients discontinue to clinical progression
- Median time on treat was 1.9 months
- Longest time on treat was 6.7 months

### Safety

- No DLT was observed formal MTD was read
- 23 TRAEs were report during phase IIa; 17 r to DKN-01, 14 related atezolizumab
- 1 patient experienced 3 TRAE (urticaria)
- No treatment-related occurred
- No dose reductions v required
- 7 patients experience which led to treatme delayed/discontinued

## Flow diagram of patie Non-evaluable for DLT assessment (n=3) Patient did not complete DLT period and was replaced (n=3) Evaluable for DLT assessment (n=9) [Dose escalation population

	Patient characteristics (n=12	<u>')</u>		
tadia	Gender, n (%)			
led in	Female	5	(42)	
	Male	7	(58)	
on	Age, year			
-off date	Median (IQR)	61 (	(54 – 69)	
	Min - Max		36 - 72	
	Ethnicity, n (%)			
ed due	Caucasian	9	(75)	
ssion	Mixed race	1	(8)	
ed due	Asian	1	(8)	
	Other	1	(8)	
	HER2 status, n (%)			
ment	Positive	1	(8)	
	Negative	11	(92)	
ment	Baseline DKK1 (TPS) expression, n (%)			
	Low (<20%)	6	(50)	
	High (≥20%)	4	(33)	
	N/A	2	(17)	
	ECOG, n (%)			
	0	5	(42)	
	1	7	(58)	
and no	Number of prior lines for advanced diseas	e, n (%)		
hed	1	9	(75)	
tod	2	3	(25)	
alatad	Sites of metastases at trial entry, n (%)			
elated	Liver	4	(33)	
d to	Lung	3	(25)	
	Lymph nodes	6	(50)	
d a grade	Peritoneum	4	(33)	
	Other	acteristics (n=12) 5 7 61 ( 61 ( 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(75)	
	Any history of prior radiotherapy, h (%)	4	(0)	
Ideaths	Yes	1	(8)	
		11	(92)	
vere	Madian (IOD)		4 04 0	
	Median (IQR)	13 (7.4	4 – 31.6)	
–	Win - Wax	3.	.∠ — 61.8	
ed AEs	Madian (ICD)	erapy, mo		
nt being	Median (IQR)	2.1 (2.0 – 4.5)		
ł			1.0 – 9.0	
	ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group			

n t o		
IILS	phase ha	

Started trial treatment (n=12) [Safety population] Treated at the 300mg DKN-01 dose (n=5) Treated at the 600mg DKN-01 dose (n=7)	
	<ul> <li>Non-evaluable for efficacy analysis at 6-month timepoint from treatment initiation (n=2)</li> <li>Patient died without clinical progression and before any scan was performed (n=1)</li> <li>Patient not yet reached the 6-month timepoint from treatment initiation without clinical progression or scan data (n=1)</li> </ul>
Evaluable for effica timepoint from tro [Efficad	cy analysis at the 6-month eatment initiation (n=10) cy population]

#### TRAEs related to DKN-01 experienced by $\geq 2$ patients or any grade $\geq 3$ (n=12)

Adverse event	All grades, n (%)	<b>Grade ≥ 3,</b> n (%)
Fatigue	4 (33)	0 (0)
Anaemia	2 (17)	0 (0)
Pain	2 (17)	0 (0)
Vomiting	2 (17)	0 (0)
n(%), number (proportion) of patients experiencing each TRAE		

#### TRAEs related to atezolizumab experienced by $\geq 2$ patients or any grade ≥ 3 (n=12)

Adverse event	All grades. n (%)	<b>Grade ≥ 3.</b> n (%)
	<b>3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	
Fatigue	2 (17)	0 (0)
Hypothyroidism	2 (17)	0 (0)
Pain	2 (17)	0 (0)
Urticaria	1 (8)	1 (8)
n(%), number (proportion) of patients experiencing each TRAE		

#### Immune-related AEs (n=12)

Adverse event	All grades, n (%)	<b>Grade ≥ 3,</b> n (%)
Hyperthyroidism	1 (8)	0 (0)
Hypothyroidism	2 (17)	0 (0)
Infusion related reaction	1 (8)	0 (0)
Pneumonitis	1 (8)	0 (0)
n(%), number (proportion) of patients experiencing each irAE		

#### Efficacy

- 10 patients were evaluable for response at the time of data cut-off
- 1 patient with baseline DKK1 expression of 81% TPS had a PR during treatment (best ORR 10%); duration of this response was 2.7 months
- Disease control rate during treatment was 50%; median duration of disease control was 1.9 months (IQR 1.6, 2.7)]
- 3 patients with the longest time on treatment received 600mg DKN-01
- Elevated baseline DKK1 expression (TPS  $\geq$  20%) may be associated with clinical response (of the 4 DKK1-high patients: Best ORR 25% (1 PR, 1 SD, 1 PD 1 NE))
- DKN-01 and atezolizumab do not appear to effect DKK1 expression or peripheral MDSC levels over time

#### Best response on treatment by baseline DKK1 expression (RECIST 1.1), n(%) (n=12)

	Partial response	Stable disease	Progressive	Non-evaluable
			disease*	
DKK1-high (N=4)	1 (25)	1 (25)	1 (25)	1 (25)
DKK1-low (N=6)	0	3 (50)	3 (50)	0
DKK1-unknown (N=2)	0	0	1 (50)	1 (50)
*Includes radiological or clinical disease progression: percentages calculated across rows				



**NIHR** The Royal Marsden and the ICR Biomedical Research Centre



#### Duration of treatment and best response by RECIST v1.1 (iRECIST) (n=10) PR (iPR)\* SD (iSD) \* denotes baseline DKK1 ≥ 20% SD (iSD) PD (iUPD) PR. Partial response; SD DKN-01 300mg Q2W IV Stable disease; PD, DKN-01 600mg Q2W IV progressive disease, iSE immune stable disease iPR, immune partial PD (iUPD response ; iUPD, immune unconfirmed PD Of the 12 treated patien 005 Clinical PD 2 were non-evaluable (1 patient has no scan data available yet, and 1 patier died without a scan/PD) Months on treatment





### **CONCLUSIONS**

- DKN-01 up to 600mg in combination with atezolizumab 840mg was considered safe
- DKN-01 600mg was determined as the recommended phase 2 dose
- Phase IIB is now recruiting
- At time of data cut off (16<sup>th</sup> August 2022), 18 patients were enrolled in the study across both Phase IIA and IIB
- Translational analyses and assessment of PD-L1 status are ongoing

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Kagey MH, He X. Br J Pharm. 2017;174:4637–4650
- 2. Malladi S et al. Cell. 2016;165:45-60
- 3. D'Amico L et al. J Exp Med. 2016;213(5):827–840
- 4. Lee HS et al. Clin Chim Acta. 2012;413(21-22):1753-1760

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- 5. Haas M et al. Mol Cancer Res. 2021;19:717-25
- 6. Klempner et al. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2021;20(11):2240-2249 7. Klempner et al. *Jour Clin Onc 2022* 40.4\_suppl, 292-292

The authors would like to thank the patients, families and carers for their participation in the WAKING study. The authors would also like to thank Roche and the imCORE network for their financial support and collaboration, support with ongoing translational analyses and provision of atezolizumab. We also thank Leap therapeutics for their provision of DKN-01 and for facilitating the RNAscope analysis. This work is supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust and the Institute of Cancer Research, London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Disclosures: F Turkes has no conflicts of interest to declare **Correspondence:** fiona.turkes@rmh.nhs.uk; david.cunningham@rmh.nhs.uk (CI) ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04166721