Safety, Efficacy, and Biomarker Results from a Phase Ib Study of the Anti-DKK1 Antibody DKN-01 in Combination with Pembrolizumab in Advanced Esophagogastric Cancers

Samuel J. Klempner¹, Johanna C. Bendell², Victoria Meucci Villaflor³, Laura LaNiel Tenner⁴,

Stacey M. Stein⁵, James B. Rottman⁶, Girish S. Naik⁷, Cynthia A. Sirard⁷, Michael H. Kagey⁷,

Marya F. Chaney⁸, John H. Strickler⁹

¹Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center; Boston, MA, USA; ²Sarah Cannon Research

Institute/Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ³Northwestern University, Chicago, IL,

USA; ⁴University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX, USA; ⁵Yale School of

Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA; ⁶Athenaeum Pathology Consulting, LLC, Sudbury, MA, USA;

⁷Leap Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA, USA; ⁸Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA; ⁹Duke

University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

Correspondence:

Samuel J. Klempner, MD

Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center

55 Fruit Street, BHX1.224, Boston, MA

T: 617-724-4000 | Email: sklempner@partners.org

Running Title: DKN-01 plus Pembrolizumab in Esophagogastric Cancers

Support: Supported by Leap Therapeutics, Inc.

Conflicts of Interest Disclosures: provided at end of manuscript

Prior Presentation: Presented at the American Society of Clinical Oncology Gastrointestinal Symposium, San Francisco, CA, January 23-25, 2020.

Word count: 3056

Abstract word count: 248

Tables and figures: 6 + 10 in the data supplement

References: 34

ABSTRACT

Therapeutic combinations targeting innate and adaptive immunity and predictive biomarkers of response in esophagogastric cancer (EGC) are needed. We assessed safety and clinical utility of DKN-01 (a novel DKK1-neutralizing IgG4 antibody) combined with pembrolizumab and retrospectively determined DKK1 tumoral expression as a biomarker. Patients with advanced EGC received intravenous DKN-01 (150 or 300 mg) on days 1 and 15 with pembrolizumab 200 mg on day 1 in 21-day cycles. Clinical response was assessed by RECIST v1.1. Association of tumoral DKK1 mRNA expression (H-score: high >upper-tertile, low <upper-tertile) with response was assessed with PD-L1 levels as a covariate. Sixty-three patients received DKN-01 150 mg (n = 2) or 300 mg (n = 61) plus pembrolizumab. Common adverse events were fatigue, anemia, blood alkaline phosphatase elevation, aspartate aminotransferase elevation, and hyponatremia (Table 2). Among evaluable anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve patients receiving DKN-01 300 mg and pembrolizumab, objective response rate (ORR) was 11.4% (5/44), and 18.5% (5/27) in patients with gastroesophageal junction or gastric cancer (GEJ/GC). Among responseevaluable anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve patients with GEJ/GC and known tumoral DKK1 expression, ORR was 50% in DKK1-high and 0% in DKK1-low patients, median PFS was 22.1 vs 5.9 weeks (HR: 0.24, 95% CI: 0.08-0.67), respectively, and median OS was 31.6 vs. 17.4 weeks (HR: 0.41, 95% CI: 0.16-1.07), respectively. Association of DKK1 expression with PFS was independent of PD-L1 expression (adjusted HR: 0.21, 95% CI: 0.06-0.69). DKN-01 combined with pembrolizumab was well tolerated with no new safety signals. Antitumor activity was enriched in anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients whose tumors expressed high DKK1.

INTRODUCTION

Globally, esophagogastric cancers (EGC) represent a major cause of cancer-related deaths.¹ The backbone of first line (1L) systemic therapy includes a fluoropyrimidine and a platinum agent with addition of trastuzumab in HER2 overexpressing patients.² After progression on 1L therapy, paclitaxel with or without the anti-VEGFR2 antibody ramucirumab is a global standard for second line (2L) therapy.³ Following the Keynote-059 trial,⁴ the anti-PD-1 antibody pembrolizumab was approved for third line (3L) in patients with PD-L1+ tumors defined by a combined positive score (CPS) of 1 or greater (CPS ≥ 1).⁵ Pre-specified analysis from pembrolizumab-containing trials, including Keynote-061 (2L) and Keynote-062 (1L), have identified subsets of patients more likely to benefit from immune-checkpoint inhibitors (ICI), including those with higher PD-L1 scores (CPS ≥ 10) and/or microsatellite instable (MSI-H) tumors.⁶⁻⁸ However, this represents the minority of patients, and intrinsic resistance to ICI remains a critical unmet need. Discriminatory biomarkers independent of PD-L1 and MSI-H represent a key area of investigation with potential to identify patients more likely to respond to ICIs.

The Wnt/ β -catenin pathway has multiple roles in cancer and contributes to ICI resistance across several tumor types.⁹⁻¹¹ While not fully understood, mechanisms may include generation of an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment through T-cell exclusion and decreased immune cell trafficking.⁹ The secreted protein Dickkopf-1 (DKK1) is best characterized as an inhibitor of the Wnt/ β -catenin-dependent (canonical) pathway; however, it has been implicated in activating Wnt/ β -catenin-independent (noncanonical) signaling pathway and PI3K/AKT signaling.¹² While DKK1 can have both tumor suppressing and promoting activity, elevated DKK1 expression is associated with poor prognosis in several cancers, including EGC.¹² Mechanistically, DKK1

3

contributes to an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment by activating the suppressive effects of myeloid-derived suppressor cells and impeding natural killer cell-mediated anti-tumor response.¹³⁻¹⁶ Specifically, in pre-clinical models DKN-01 treatment led to PD-L1 upregulation on MDSCs and additive activity when combined with an anti-PD-1.¹⁷

DKN-01 (Leap Therapeutics, Cambridge, Mass., USA) is a humanized IgG4 monoclonal antibody that binds and neutralizes circulating DKK1 and has demonstrated nonclinical single-agent activity in DKK1-expressing tumor models.¹⁸ Combination work with anti-PD-1 agents suggested enhanced activity in murine models.¹⁷ We conducted a phase Ib trial exploring the safety and preliminary clinical activity of DKN-01 alone or in combination regimens in previously treated, advanced EGC patients. We also explored the association of tumoral DKK1 mRNA expression with clinical response to DKN-01 in combination with pembrolizumab.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Design

This open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter, dose-escalation, dose-expansion study (NCT02013154) was conducted in multiple parts, including a DKN-01 monotherapy cohort and two combination cohorts—DKN-01 + pembrolizumab and DKN-01 + paclitaxel (reported separately^{19,20}). Here, we report results of the DKN-01 + pembrolizumab cohort, including its anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve subgroup. Methodology for DKN-01 monotherapy is provided in the Supplementary Methods (page 2).

The trial adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice, the trial protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review boards (IRB) at participating sites or a central IRB, and all patients provided written informed consent.

Patients

Ambulatory patients aged 18 years or older with histologically proven EGC progressing after ≥1 prior line of systemic therapy for metastatic or locally advanced disease were eligible, including those with anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve and anti-PD-1/PD-L1-refractory disease. Prior treatment with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monoclonal antibodies (mAb) was permitted, provided disease was refractory to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 mAb with documented disease progression within 24 weeks of last anti-PD1/PD-L1 mAb dose. Patients were required to have Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status 0 or 1; one or more measurable disease sites as defined by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1;²¹ and adequate end organ function. Fresh biopsy or archival tissue within 3 months was required for study entry and patients could not have received prior systemic therapies within 21 days of study entry. Key disease-related exclusion criteria included active central nervous system metastases; pre-existing osteoblastic bony metastasis; and autoimmune conditions requiring chronic steroid use. Complete eligibility criteria are available in the trial protocol (NCT02013154).

DKN-01 Dosing

DKN-01 is an IgG4 monoclonal antibody produced as a secreted protein in large-scale batch cell culture using a Chinese hamster ovary cell line that was stably transfected with an expression vector containing the coding sequences for both the heavy and light chains of DKN-01.

Following cell culture, DKN-01 is purified from the supernatant by standard chromatography and filtration techniques, followed by aseptic fill-finish to produce DKN-01 drug product.

DKN-01 was administered as a 30-minute IV infusion on days 1 and 15 of a 21-day cycle. Pembrolizumab 200 mg was given as a 30-minute IV infusion on day 1. On days when both agents were given, DKN-01 was given prior to pembrolizumab. Imaging was performed prior to cycle 3 and prior to every odd cycle thereafter. Patients continued therapy until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, withdrawal of consent, or at the investigator's discretion.

DKN-01 150 mg was the starting dose for combination with pembrolizumab 200 mg. Following dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) analyses, escalation was planned to a target dose of DKN-01 300 mg. This starting dose and accelerated dose escalation were informed by a phase I monotherapy study that established safety up to DKN-01 600 mg intravenously (IV) (NCT01457417)²² and a study confirming the safety of 150 mg and 300 mg in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy (NCT02375880).²³

Laboratory Assessments

Pharmacokinetic (PK) assessment including serum DKN-01 concentration was performed on cycle 1 day 1 (C1D1), C1D8, C1D15, C2D1, and D1 of subsequent cycles. DKN-01 anti-drug antibodies were assessed prior to dosing in C1 and on day 1 of every other cycle (i.e. C3D1, C5D1, etc.). Pharmacodynamic analysis was conducted using total serum DKK1 concentrations collected at the PK time points listed above.

Formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded patient tumor tissue was evaluated centrally at Advanced Cell Diagnostics (ACD, Newark, CA) for DKK1 expression. DKK1 messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) expression was measured by a single-plex RNAscope chromogenic in-situ hybridization (CISH) assay on the Leica Biosystems BOND RX platform.^{23,24} DKK1 mRNA was detected in tumor cells using QuPath open-source morphometric analysis program,²⁵ and an H-score (range 0-300) was calculated by determining the percentage of low (1-3 dots/cell), medium (4-9 dots/cell) and high (10+ dots/cell) expressing cells. H-score = (% low)*1+(% medium)*2+(% high)*3. In a minority of anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve patients with gastroesophageal junction or gastric cancers (GEJ/GC) (3 of 31), it was not possible for the QuPath program to accurately determine an H-score, a manual H-score was calculated instead using the same formula. When possible, DKK1 expression was also semi-quantified in stroma and immune cells. The majority of assessed biopsies were pre-dose. If sufficient quality tissue was not available, on-treatment biopsy (C2D1 ± 7 days) was used.

The bioanalytical assay measured total DKN-01 and DKK1 concentrations (free analyte plus analyte derived from DKN-01/DKK1 complex). A target-mediated drug disposition (TMDD) model was used to estimate total DKN-01, total DKK1 and free serum DKK1 concentrations. Total DKN-01 PK exposure parameters (e.g., maximum concentration $[C_{max}]$ and area under the curve [AUC]) were calculated from the model output.

PD-L1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis for DKN-01 + pembrolizumab-treated patients was conducted centrally by Covance (Meyrin, Switzerland). A slide section from a pre-dose biopsy was stained using an investigational version of the PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx (Agilent, Carpinteria, CA). A CPS was measured using standard methods.²⁶

Historical tumor microsatellite and/or mismatch repair (MMR) status was recorded in the clinical database when available for DKN-01 + pembrolizumab-treated patients. For anti-PD-1/PD-L1-

7

naïve patients with GEJ/GC without available historical data, MMR status was assessed centrally at Interpace Diagnostics (Rutherford, NJ) by IHC on the Ventana Benchmark Ultra Staining Platform for MLH-1 (M1), MSH-2 (G219-1129), MSH-6 (SP93) and PMS2 (A16-4).

Clinical Outcome Assessments

The primary endpoint was safety and tolerability of DKN-01 alone and in combination with pembrolizumab. Adverse events (AEs) were classified using National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0 guidelines.

Objective response was evaluated by the investigator using RECIST v1.1.²¹ Additionally, blinded independent central review (BICR) using RECIST v1.1 was performed retrospectively by Imaging Endpoints (Scottsdale, AZ). Secondary objectives included estimation of objective response rate (ORR, number of patients with complete or partial response [CR or PR] divided by number of patients in the response-evaluable population), disease control rate (DCR, number of patients exhibiting CR, PR, or stable disease [SD]), duration of response (DoR), progression-free survival (PFS, time from treatment initiation to objectively determined progressive disease [PD] or death from any cause), and overall survival (OS, time from treatment initiation until death from any cause). Evaluation of DKK1 expression in tumor tissue relative to clinical outcomes was an exploratory objective.

Statistical Analysis

Safety and efficacy (PFS and OS) analyses included all patients receiving at least one dose of study drug according to the treatment initially received. The efficacy-evaluable population included all patients who completed at least one cycle of study treatment, including all planned

doses of DKN-01 and pembrolizumab, as applicable. The response-evaluable population included a subset of the efficacy-evaluable population that received at least one post-treatment imaging study. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS, Version 9.3.

The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate median PFS and OS with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Patients still alive as of the data cut-off date were censored on the last known alive date. Patients without evidence of PD or death were censored in the analysis. For DKN-01 + pembrolizumab patients, administration of palliative radiation therapy was considered clinical progression for the purposes of determining PFS. Clinical activity was summarized by descriptive analyses of response as determined by the investigators. For analysis of tumoral DKK1 mRNA expression, the distribution of DKK1 mRNA was assessed and tertiles were used to define two groups of DKK1 tumoral mRNA expression: <a>>upper-tertile vs <upper-tertile (reference group). Association of DKK1 mRNA expression with clinical outcomes, namely clinical benefit/objective response (response-evaluable population), progression-free and overall survival (safety analysis population) was assessed using univariate and multivariable logistic regression (clinical benefit/objective response as outcome) and Cox proportional hazards models (PFS or OS outcome). PD-L1 expression was used as a covariate in multivariable models with different cut-offs (CPS<1 [negative]; CPS \geq 1 to <10 [low-positive]; and CPS \geq 10 [high-positive]) and adjusted effect estimates were provided for DKK1 mRNA expression.

RESULTS

Between 09 November 2017 and 15 February 2019, 63 patients enrolled in the DKN-01 + pembrolizumab cohort at 10 centers in the United States (Figure 1). No DLT or serious AEs occurred in the two patients dosed at DKN-01 150 mg + pembrolizumab. Dose escalation to

DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab proceeded in 61 patients in two patient groups: anti-PD-1/PD-L1 naïve (n=52) and anti-PD-1/PD-L1 refractory (n=9). Results are reported after database lock on 03 September 2019, at which time 5 patients (all anti-PD-1/PD-L1 naïve treated with DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab) remained on treatment.

Results in the DKN-01 monotherapy cohort are provided in Supplementary Tables S1-3 and Figure S1.

Patient demographics and cancer characteristics for DKN-01 + pembrolizumab patients are summarized in Table 1. All DKN-01 300 mg patients received prior platinum and 95% received prior 5-fluoruracil; the majority (67%) received prior taxanes with or without ramucirumab (38%). Overall, PD-L1 CPS was negative (<1) in 29.5% of patients, low positive (\geq 1 to <10) in 36.1%, and high positive (\geq 10) in 21.3%; proportions were similar among anti-PD-1/PD-L1naïve patients and anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients. No DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab patients had evidence for microsatellite instability or MMR deficiency.

Safety

Patients treated with DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab completed a median 2.0 (range: 1 to 17) treatment cycles. No DLT events were observed. Four patients discontinued DKN-01 due to seven AEs: grade 2 pleural effusion (n=1); grade 3 abdominal pain (n=1); grade 3 pneumonia (n=1); grade 3 syncope, grade 2 orthostatic hypotension and grade 2 dehydration (n=1, all in same patient); and grade 2 neuropathy (n=3). These AEs were considered possibly study-drug related by the investigator. One (1.6%) patient required dose modification of DKN-01 300 mg due to grade 3 hyperbilirubinemia. Most patients treated with DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab (66%, 40 patients) had an AE related to DKN-01, most commonly fatigue (18%), aspartate

aminotransferase (AST) increase (15%), and blood alkaline phosphatase (ALP; 15%); all other AEs were reported for <10% of patients. Fifteen patients (24.6%) treated with DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab experienced treatment-related grade \geq 3 AEs. Four patients (6.6%) experienced grade 5 AEs (gastrointestinal hemorrhage in 1 patient and disease progression in 3 patients); however, none were reported as related to DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab. Serious AEs were reported for 24 (39%) patients, most frequently metabolism and nutrition disorders (12%). Serious AEs of abdominal pain were reported in 7% of patients, and pneumonia, sepsis, pneumonia aspiration, and pulmonary embolism were each reported for 2 (3%) patients; no other preferred term was reported as a serious AE for more than 1 patient. No treatment-related infusion reactions or immune system disorders were reported for DKN-01.

Clinical Activity

Among 63 patients treated with DKN-01 + pembrolizumab, 53 (84%) were evaluable for response (Table 3). Investigator-assessed ORR was 9.4% overall (DCR 39.6%), 11.4% for anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve patients (DCR 38.6%), and 18.5% for anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients (DCR 48.1%). There were no CRs. All PRs were in anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients. There were no CRs or PRs in anti-PD-1/PD-L1-refractory patients. Among the 16 DKN-01 300 mg patients with best overall response of SD, 12 were anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve (8 had GEJ/GC), and 4 were anti-PD-1/PD-L1-refractory. The retrospective BICR assessment of best overall response was generally consistent with the investigator assessment: 6 PRs in anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve patients, 4 in GEJ/GC patients. The median DoR was 23.9 weeks (95% CI: 6.7, NA) among all DKN-01 300 mg patients (Table 3).

Median PFS was 6 weeks overall and for anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve patients, 6.9 weeks in anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients, and 6.6 weeks among anti-PD-1/PD-L1-refractory patients (Table 4). Median OS was 20.4 weeks overall and for anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve patients, 22.1 weeks among anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients, and 19.0 weeks among anti-PD-1/PD-L1-refractory patients.

DKK1 Expression and Clinical Outcomes

DKK1 expression by RNAscope CISH was available in 59 patients treated with DKN-01 + pembrolizumab, including 31 of 34 anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients (baseline characteristics available in Supplementary Table S4). DKK1 expression was primarily localized to tumor cells within the tumor microenvironment with little to no DKK1 staining observed in stroma or immune cells (Supplementary Table S5). Patients with tumor H-scores in the upper tertile (\geq 35) were considered high expressors (DKK1-high). Patients with H-scores below the upper-tertile (<35) were considered low expressors (DKK1-low).

Among the 31 anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients, 11 (35.5%) were DKK1-high. All responding anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients were DKK1-high (Figure 2A-B), and the ORR for DKK1-high GEJ/GC patients was 50% versus 0% for DKK1-low patients (response-evaluable population). Median PFS in this subgroup was 22.1 weeks vs 5.9 weeks for DKK1-high vs DKK1-low patients, respectively (HR 0.24, 95% CI 0.08-0.67) (Figure 2C and Supplementary Fig. S2). There was also a trend toward improved OS (31.6 vs 17.4 weeks, HR 0.41, 95% CI 0.16-1.07) for DKK1-high vs DKK1-low patients, respectively (Figure 2D and Supplementary Fig. S2). In multivariable analysis, the longer PFS and trend for longer OS in DKK1-high patients was independent of PD-L1 status (Supplementary Fig. S3). PD-L1 CPS was

not a predictor for PFS or OS (Supplementary Fig. S4). DKK1 high vs low status was associated with higher odds of clinical benefit/objective response and was also independent of PD-L1 expression (Supplementary Fig. S4). Of the 31 anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients with DKK1 RNAscope data, microsatellite or MMR status was available for 26 patients, and none had evidence of microsatellite instability or deficient MMR (Supplementary Table S4).

Among anti-PD-1/PD-L1-refractory GEJ/GC patients treated with DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab, DKK1 status was available for 4 of 5 patients. Best overall response was SD for two patients (DKK1 H-scores 59 and 75) and PD for two patients (DKK1 H-scores 2 and 23).

DKN-01 PK and immunogenicity analyses are reported in the Supplementary Fig S5.

DISCUSSION

In this phase I trial, DKN-01 was well tolerated as monotherapy and in combination with pembrolizumab across a heterogenous population of advanced, previously treated EGC patients. Consistent with the hypothesized mechanisms, encouraging antitumor activity was seen in the DKK1-high biomarker population. One subgroup in particular, anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients with DKK1-high tumoral expression, experienced an ORR of 50% and survival outcomes were longer than in the DKK1-low population. The 21-day cycle of DKN-01 300 mg on Days 1 and 15 with pembrolizumab 200 mg on Day 1 was established as the recommended phase 2 dose (RP2D).

The reported AEs were consistent with those reported from trials in similar populations and reflect the highly symptomatic nature of advanced EGC.^{27,28} The most common drug-related AEs

were fatigue, asymptomatic liver function abnormalities, decreased appetite and anemia. Importantly, there was no evidence of synergistic immune toxicity or infusion reactions.

While clinical activity of DKN-01 300 mg + pembrolizumab in this anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve, unselected, heterogenous population is modest at 11.4% ORR, this study did not include patients treated at the RP2D and predicted to have higher ICI response (MSI-H/deficient MMR). PD-L1 status has limitations as a predictive biomarker (spatial and temporal heterogeneity²⁹) in EGC, and rare responses (ORR ~5-6%) occur in PD-L1-negative patients. Preliminary data from our study suggest tumoral DKK1 expression is a predictive response biomarker for DKN-01-based therapy independent of known ICI response biomarkers. DKK1 expression is known to carry a poor prognosis,¹² and the lack of interaction with PD-L1 suggests it is highly unlikely DKK1 expression is simply marking a more ICI-sensitive population. While all responders had DKK1-high tumors, two DKK1-high patients did not respond to therapy. Importantly, unlike MSI-high or EBV positivity, which are uncommon in GEJ/GC, approximately one-third of anti-PD-1/PL-L1-naïve GEJ/GC patients in our study were considered DKK1-high (i.e., H-score >35).^{30,31}

RNAscope is a highly sensitive and specific expression technique that overcomes antibody reagent limitations common with IHC. RNAscope was recently utilized to identify patients with elevated FGFR mRNA expression in a phase 1 dose-escalation trial for an orally available inhibitor of FGFR1-4 kinase activity, and the DKK1 RNAscope assay has been validated as a laboratory developed test for the prospective screening of patient tumoral tissue.^{32,33} Our findings suggest that DKK1 expression assessed by RNAscope CISH potentially has substantial discriminatory ability in identifying patients more likely to benefit from DKN-01-based therapies.

This small, phase 1, nonrandomized study was not powered to examine clinical efficacy. Furthermore, the exploratory analysis of DKK1 expression and clinical outcomes was conducted retrospectively and lacked a validation set, and it was not possible to distinguish between prognostic and predictive biomarkers. Currently, a phase 2 second-line trial of DKN-01 in combination with the anti-PD-1 antibody tislelizumab +/- chemotherapy (DisTinGuish) is ongoing to validate antitumor activity in the context of elevated DKK1 expression (NCT04363801). Evaluating DKN-01 in this setting will be important, given the significantly improved overall survival when the immune checkpoint inhibitor, nivolumab, was given with chemotherapy in the CheckMate 649 trial.^{34,35} Importantly, analyses from CheckMate-649 suggest a lesser magnitude of benefit in PD-L1 CPS <5 (n = 606, HR 0.94 for overall survival) and this may be an area where additional agents like DKN-01 could improve benefit.

In summary, the novel DKK1-neutralizing IgG4 antibody, DKN-01, was safe and tolerable in combination with pembrolizumab in advanced EGC patients. Common AEs were manageable, with no evidence of enhanced immune-related toxicity. Durable antitumor activity was correlated with elevated tumoral DKK1 expression in GEJ/GC patients naïve to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy. The ORR and PFS in this subgroup warrant further investigation of DKN-01 in combination with anti-PD-1 agents in biomarker-enriched EGC populations.

SUPPORT

Supported by Leap Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA, the developer of DKN-01, which also funded writing assistance in accordance with Good Publications Practice guidelines.

CLINICAL TRIAL INFORMATION

NCT02013154

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the patients and their families, the study support staff at participating centers, and the study team, as well as Imaging Endpoints, LLC, for blinded independent central review of clinical response endpoints, ProPharma Services Corp. for pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic analyses, Athenaeum Pathology Consulting for RNAscope analyses, and Raymond Buck, Raymond Buck Consulting, for statistical support. The sponsor was involved in the study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, as well as data checking of information provided in the manuscript. However, ultimate responsibility for opinions, conclusions, and data interpretation lies with the authors. Medical writing support was provided by Laurie LaRusso, paid for by Leap Therapeutics.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

Dr. Klempner reports consulting/advisory fees from Merck, BMS, Eli Lilly, Natera Oncology, Pieris, Foundation Medicine, and stock/equity in Turning Point Therapeutics. Dr. Bendell reports research funding to her institution, consulting/advisory fees to her institution and/or travel/meals reimbursement from AbbVie, Acerta Pharma, ADC, Agios, Amgen, Apexigen, Arch Oncology, Arcus Bio, ARMO, Array, Arrys, AstraZeneca, AtlasMedx, Bayer, Beigene, Bellicum, BI, Bicycle Therapeutics, Blueprint, BMS, Boston Biomedical, CALGB, Calithera, Celgene, Celldex, Continuum Clinical, Cyteir Therapeutics, Cytomx, Daiichi Sankyo, Effector, Eisai, EMD Serono, Evelo, Five Prime, FORMA, Forty Seven, Foundation Bio, Fusion Therapeutics, Genentech / Roche, Gilead, Gossamer Bio, GSK, Harpoon, Hutchinson MediPharma, IGM Biosciences, Imclone, Incyte, Innate Pharma, Ipsen, Jacobio, Janssen, Koltan, LEAP, Lilly, Mabspace, Macrogenics, Marshall Edwards, MedImmune, Merck, Merrimack, Mersana, Merus, Millennium, Moderna Therapeutics, Molecular Partners, Morphotex, Nektar, NeoImmune Tech, NGM Biopharma, Novartis, Novocare, NuMab, Oncogenex, OncoMed, Ongologie, Onyx, Pfizer, Phoenix Bio, Pieris, Piper Biotech, Prelude Oncology, PureTech Health, Regeneron, Relay Therapeutics, REPARE Therapeutics, Revolution Medicines, Inc., Rgenix, Samsung Bioepios, Sanofi, Scholar Rock, Seattle Genetics, Shattuck Labs, Sierra, Stemcentrx, SynDevRex, Synthorx, Inc., Taiho, Takeda, Tanabe Research Laboratories, Tarveda, TD2 (Translational Drug Development), TempestTx, TG Therapeutics, Tizona, Tolero, Torque, Tracon, Treadwell Therapeutics, Tyrogenex, Unum Therapeutics, Vyriad, Zymeworks. Dr. Villaflor reports an advisory role for Astra Zeneca, BMS and Genentech/Roche. Dr. Tenner reports no conflicts of interest. Dr. Stein reports serving on advisory boards for Genentech, QED, Exelixis, and Eisai. Dr. Rottman was paid as a contract researcher for this study by Leap Therapeutics. Dr. Naik is currently an employee and stockholder of Merck; he was employed by Leap Therapeutics at the time this research was conducted; he also reports a pending patent and stock ownership in Inovio Pharmaceuticals Inc., Dynavax Technologies Corporation, Tonix Pharmaceuticals, Ibio Inc., Co-Diagnostics Inc., and Biocept Inc. Dr. Sirard and Dr. Kagey are employees and stockholders of Leap Therapeutics. Dr. Chaney is an employee and stockholder of Merck. Dr. Strickler reports research grant funding from Seattle Genetics, Daiichi-Sankyo,

Exelixis, Amgen, Abbvie, Genentech/ Roche, Nektar, Leap Therapeutics, Curegenix, A*Star D3, and consulting or honoraria from Abbvie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Genentech/Roche, Mereo, Pfizer, Seattle Genetics.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at DOI [insert DOI #]. Data underlying the findings described in this article may be obtained in accordance with the Leap Therapeutics data sharing policy described at <u>https://www.leaptx.com</u>.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* Nov 2018;68(6):394-424.
- 2. Ajani JA, D'Amico TA, Bentrem DJ, Chao J, Corvera C, Das P, et al. Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers, Version 2.2019, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* Jul 1 2019;17(7):855-883.
- 3. Wilke H, Muro K, Van Cutsem E, Oh SC, Bodoky G, Shimada Y, et al. Ramucirumab plus paclitaxel versus placebo plus paclitaxel in patients with previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (RAINBOW): a double-blind, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* Oct 2014;15(11):1224-1235.
- 4. Fuchs CS, Doi T, Jang RW, Muro K, Satoh T, Machado M, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Pembrolizumab Monotherapy in Patients With Previously Treated Advanced Gastric and Gastroesophageal Junction Cancer: Phase 2 Clinical KEYNOTE-059 Trial. *JAMA Oncol.* May 10 2018;4(5):e180013.
- 5. KEYTRUDA® (pembrolizumab) for injection, for intravenous use; prescribing information. Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA; Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., 2020.
- 6. Chao J, Fuchs CS, Shitara K, Tabernero J, Muro K, Van Cutsem E, et al. Assessment of Pembrolizumab Therapy for the Treatment of Microsatellite Instability-High Gastric or Gastroesophageal Junction Cancer Among Patients in the KEYNOTE-059, KEYNOTE-061, and KEYNOTE-062 Clinical Trials. *JAMA Oncol.* Jun 1 2021;7(6):895-902.
- 7. Shitara K, Van Cutsem E, Bang YJ, Fuchs C, Wyrwicz L, Lee KW, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Pembrolizumab or Pembrolizumab Plus Chemotherapy vs Chemotherapy Alone for Patients With First-line, Advanced Gastric Cancer: The KEYNOTE-062 Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol.* Oct 1 2020;6(10):1571-1580.
- 8. Wainberg ZA, Fuchs CS, Tabernero J, Shitara K, Muro K, Van Cutsem E, et al. Efficacy of Pembrolizumab Monotherapy for Advanced Gastric/Gastroesophageal Junction Cancer with Programmed Death Ligand 1 Combined Positive Score >/=10. *Clin Cancer Res.* Apr 1 2021;27(7):1923-1931.
- Luke JJ, Bao R, Sweis RF, Spranger S, Gajewski TF. WNT/beta-catenin Pathway Activation Correlates with Immune Exclusion across Human Cancers. *Clin Cancer Res.* May 15 2019;25(10):3074-3083.
- 10. Spranger S, Bao R, Gajewski TF. Melanoma-intrinsic beta-catenin signalling prevents anti-tumour immunity. *Nature*. Jul 9 2015;523(7559):231-235.
- 11. Doo DW, Meza-Perez S, Londono AI, Goldsberry WN, Katre AA, Boone JD, et al. Inhibition of the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway enhances antitumor immunity in ovarian cancer. *Ther Adv Med Oncol.* 2020;12:1758835920913798.
- 12. Kagey MH, He X. Rationale for targeting the Wnt signalling modulator Dickkopf-1 for oncology. *Br J Pharmacol*. Dec 2017;174(24):4637-4650.
- 13. Betella I, Turbitt WJ, Szul T, Wu B, Martinez A, Katre A, et al. Wnt signaling modulator DKK1 as an immunotherapeutic target in ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* Jun 2020;157(3):765-774.
- 14. D'Amico L, Mahajan S, Capietto AH, Yang Z, Zamani A, Ricci B, et al. Dickkopf-related protein 1 (Dkk1) regulates the accumulation and function of myeloid derived suppressor cells in cancer. *J Exp Med.* May 2 2016;213(5):827-840.

- 15. Malladi S, Macalinao DG, Jin X, He L, Basnet H, Zou Y, et al. Metastatic Latency and Immune Evasion through Autocrine Inhibition of WNT. *Cell.* Mar 24 2016;165(1):45-60.
- 16. Wall JA, Klempner SJ, Arend RC. The anti-DKK1 antibody DKN-01 as an immunomodulatory combination partner for the treatment of cancer. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. May 25 2020;10.1080/13543784.2020.1769065:1-6.
- 17. Haas MS, Kagey MH, Heath H, Schuerpf F, Rottman JB, Newman W. mDKN-01, a Novel Anti-DKK1 mAb, Enhances Innate Immune Responses in the Tumor Microenvironment. *Mol Cancer Res.* Apr 2021;19(4):717-725.
- 18. Wise DR, Schneider JA, Armenia J, Febles VA, McLaughlin B, Brennan R, et al. Dickkopf-1 Can Lead to Immune Evasion in Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2020;4.
- 19. Bendell JC, Murphy JE, Mahalingam D, Halmos B, Sirard CA, Landau SB, et al. Phase I study of DKN-01, an anti-DKK1 antibody, in combination with paclitaxel (pac) in patients (pts) with DKK1+ relapsed or refractory esophageal cancer (EC) or gastro-esophageal junction tumors (GEJ). Abstract 111. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016;34(4 suppl):111.
- 20. Yang M, Haas M, Heath H, Schurpf-Huber F, Kagey M, Newman W, et al. Antibody targeting of WNT signaling modulator Dickkopf1 (DKK1) enhances innate anti-tumor immunity and complements anti-PD-1 therapy. Paper presented at: Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer (SITC) 33rd Annual Meeting2018; Washington, D.C.
- 21. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer.* Jan 2009;45(2):228-247.
- 22. Edenfield WJ, Richards DA, Vukelja SJ, Weiss GJ, Sirard CA, Landau SB, et al. A phase 1 study evaluating the safety and efficacy of DKN-01, an investigational monoclonal antibody (Mab) in patients (pts) with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(15_suppl):8068.
- 23. Goyal L, Sirard C, Schrag M, Kagey MH, Eads JR, Stein S, et al. Phase I and Biomarker Study of the Wnt Pathway Modulator DKN-01 in Combination with Gemcitabine/Cisplatin in Advanced Biliary Tract Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* Dec 1 2020;26(23):6158-6167.
- 24. Wang F, Flanagan J, Su N, Wang LC, Bui S, Nielson A, et al. RNAscope: a novel in situ RNA analysis platform for formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues. *J Mol Diagn*. Jan 2012;14(1):22-29.
- 25. Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernandez JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD, et al. QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. *Sci Rep.* Dec 4 2017;7(1):16878.
- 26. Kulangara K, Zhang N, Corigliano E, Guerrero L, Waldroup S, Jaiswal D, et al. Clinical Utility of the Combined Positive Score for Programmed Death Ligand-1 Expression and the Approval of Pembrolizumab for Treatment of Gastric Cancer. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* Mar 2019;143(3):330-337.
- 27. Herbst RS, Arkenau HT, Santana-Davila R, Calvo E, Paz-Ares L, Cassier PA, et al. Ramucirumab plus pembrolizumab in patients with previously treated advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer, gastro-oesophageal cancer, or urothelial carcinomas (JVDF): a multicohort, non-randomised, open-label, phase 1a/b trial. *Lancet Oncol.* Aug 2019;20(8):1109-1123.

- 28. Catenacci DVT, Rasco D, Lee J, Rha SY, Lee KW, Bang YJ, et al. Phase I Escalation and Expansion Study of Bemarituzumab (FPA144) in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors and FGFR2b-Selected Gastroesophageal Adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* Jul 20 2020;38(21):2418-2426.
- 29. Zhou KI, Peterson B, Serritella A, Thomas J, Reizine N, Moya S, et al. Spatial and Temporal Heterogeneity of PD-L1 Expression and Tumor Mutational Burden in Gastroesophageal Adenocarcinoma at Baseline Diagnosis and after Chemotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res.* Dec 15 2020;26(24):6453-6463.
- 30. Cristescu R, Lee J, Nebozhyn M, Kim KM, Ting JC, Wong SS, et al. Molecular analysis of gastric cancer identifies subtypes associated with distinct clinical outcomes. *Nat Med.* May 2015;21(5):449-456.
- 31. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. Comprehensive molecular characterization of gastric adenocarcinoma. *Nature*. Sep 11 2014;513(7517):202-209.
- 32. Caldwell C, Rottman JB, Paces W, Bueche E, Reitsma S, Gibb J, et al. Validation of a DKK1 RNAscope chromogenic in situ hybridization assay for gastric and gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma tumors. *Sci Rep.* May 10 2021;11(1):9920.
- 33. Schuler M, Cho BC, Sayehli CM, Navarro A, Soo RA, Richly H, et al. Rogaratinib in patients with advanced cancers selected by FGFR mRNA expression: a phase 1 dose-escalation and dose-expansion study. *Lancet Oncol.* Oct 2019;20(10):1454-1466.
- 34. Janjigian YY, Shitara K, Moehler M, Garrido M, Salman P, Shen L, et al. First-line nivolumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for advanced gastric, gastro-oesophageal junction, and oesophageal adenocarcinoma (CheckMate 649): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. Jul 3 2021;398(10294):27-40.
- 35. Kato K, Sun J-M, Shah MA, Enzinger PC, Adenis A, Doi T, et al. LBA8_PR Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy as first-line therapy in patients with advanced esophageal cancer: The phase 3 KEYNOTE-590 study. *Ann Oncol.* 2020;31(S4):1192-1193.

| | 300 mg DKN-01 + Pembro | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 150 mg DKN-01 + Pembro (N=2) | Anti-PD-1/ PD-L1-Naïve (N=52) | Anti-PD-1/PD-L1- Naïve, GEJ/GC (N=34) | Anti-PD-1/PD-L1- Refractory (N=9) | All 300 mg DKN-01 + Pembro (N=61) | | |
| Age (years) ^c | | | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 68.0 (1.41) | 62.8 (12.48) | 61.6 (12.36) | 61.3 (13.28) | 62.6 (12.50) | | |
| Min, Max | 67, 69 | 28, 81 | 28, 80 | 40, 74 | 28, 81 | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 1 (50.0) | 49 (94.2) | 31 (91.2) | 6 (66.7) | 55 (90.2) | | |
| Female | 1 (50.0) | 3 (5.8) | 3 (8.8) | 3 (33.3) | 6 (9.8) | | |
| Race | | | | | | | |
| White | 2 (100.0) | 48 (92.3) | 30 (88.2) | 9 (100.0) | 57 (93.4) | | |
| Asian | 0 | 2 (3.8) | 2 (5.9) | 0 | 2 (3.3) | | |
| Other | 0 | 2 (3.8) | 2 (5.9) | 0 | 2 (3.3) | | |
| Type of Cancer / Histology | | | | | | | |
| Esophageal | 1 (50.0) | 18 (34.6) | 0 | 4 (44.4) | 22 (36.1) | | |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 0 | 1 (11.1) | 5 (8.2) | | |
| Adenocarcinoma | 1 (50.0) | 14 (26.9) | 0 | 3 (33.3) | 17 (27.9) | | |
| Gastro-esophageal Junction Adenocarcinoma | 1 (50.0) | 27 (51.9) | 27 (79.4) | 5 (55.6) | 32 (52.5) | | |
| Gastric Adenocarcinoma | 0 | 7 (13.5) | 7 (20.6) | 0 | 7 (11.5) | | |
| Months Since Diagnosis ^b | | | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 51.9 (40.42) | 15.8 (12.12) | 16.2 (10.72) | 27.2 (8.36) | 17.5 (12.29) | | |
| Min, Max | 23, 80 | 3, 68 | 3, 52 | 18, 42 | 3, 68 | | |
| Disease Stage at Diagnosis | | | | | | | |
| Stage I | 0 | 2 (3.8) | 0 | 0 | 2 (3.3) | | |
| Stage II | 1 (50.0) | 6 (11.5) | 2 (5.9) | 0 | 6 (9.8) | | |
| Stage III | 0 | 5 (9.6) | 3 (8.8) | 2 (22.2) | 7 (11.5) | | |
| Stage IV | 1 (50.0) | 39 (75.0) | 29 (85.3) | 7 (77.8) | 46 (75.4) | | |
| No. of Prior Systemic Regimens | | | | | | | |
| Mean (SD) | 3.0 (0.00) | 1.9 (0.92) | 2.0 (1.00) | 3.6 (0.88) | 2.1 (1.09) | | |
| Median | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | | |
| Min, Max | 3, 3 | 1, 5 | 1, 5 | 2, 5 | 1, 5 | | |

Table 1. Baseline demographic, disease and tumor characteristics in patients receivingDKN-01 + pembrolizumab by anti-PD-1/PD-L1 status.

22

| 1 2 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Chemotherapy | 2 (100.0) | 52 (100.0) | 34 (100.0) | 9 (100.0) | 61 (100.0) |
| 5-fluoruracil | 2 (100.0) | 49 (94.2) | 34 (100.0) | 9 (100.0) | 58 (95.1) |
| Platinum | 2 (100.0) | 52 (100.0) | 34 (100.0) | 9 (100.0) | 61 (100.0) |
| Taxane | 1 (50.0) | 32 (61.5) | 19 (55.9) | 9 (100.0) | 41 (67.2) |
| Trastuzumab | 0 | 13 (25.0) | 10 (29.4) | 2 (22.2) | 15 (24.6) |
| PD-1/PD L1 inhibitor | 1 (50.0) | 0 | 0 | 9 (100.0) | 9 (14.8) |
| Ramucirumab | 1 (50.0) | 16 (30.8) | 12 (35.3) | 7 (77.8) | 23 (37.7) |
| Tumor PD-L1: CPS, n (%) | | | | | |
| CPS<1 (Negative) | 1 (50.0) | 15 (28.8) | 7 (20.6) | 3 (33.3) | 18 (29.5) |
| CPS≥1 - <10 (Positive, Low) | 0 | 18 (34.6) | 13 (38.2) | 4 (44.4) | 22 (36.1) |
| CPS≥10 (Positive, High) | 1 (50.0) | 12 (23.1) | 7 (20.6) | 1 (11.1) | 13 (21.3) |
| Missing | 0 | 7 (13.5) | 7 (20.6) | 1 (11.1) | 8 (13.1) |
| Microsatellite Status (MSS), n | (%) | | | | |
| MSS/pMMR | 1 (50.0) | 43 (82.7) | 28 (82.4) | 3 (33.3) | 46 (75.4) |
| MSI-H/dMMR | 1 (50.0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 9 (17.3) | 6 (17.6) | 6 (66.7) | 15 (24.6) |
| DKK1 RNAScope H-Score | n=2 | n=49 | n=31 | n=8 | N=57 |
| Mean (SD) | 147.0 (35.36) | 45.4 (56.33) | 46.7 (58.47) | 49.8 (81.07) | 46.0 (59.53) |
| Min, Max | 122, 172 | 0, 210 | 0, 210 | 0, 237 | 0, 237 |

Type of Prior Systemic Therapy

^aAge at time of informed consent. ^bTime since disease diagnosis is the number of months between the date of initial diagnosis and date of first study treatment.

CPS: combined positive score; pMMR: proficient mismatch repair; dMMR: deficient mismatch repair

| | 300 mg DKN-01+ Pembro | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Preferred Term | 150 mg DKN-01 + Pembro (N=2) | Anti-PD-1/PD-L1- Naïve (N=52) | Anti-PD-1/PD-L1- Refractory (N=9) | All 300 mg DKN-01 + Pembro (N=61) | | | |
| Any Treatment-Emergent AE with Overall Incidence >5% | 2 (100.0) | 51 (98.1) | 7 (77.8) | 58 (95.1) | | | |
| Fatigue | 1 (50.0) | 30 (57.7) | 2 (22.2) | 32 (52.5) | | | |
| Anaemia | 1 (50.0) | 16 (30.8) | 5 (55.6) | 21 (34.4) | | | |
| Blood alkaline phosphatase increased | 1 (50.0) | 17 (32.7) | 4 (44.4) | 21 (34.4) | | | |
| Hyponatraemia | 0 | 16 (30.8) | 3 (33.3) | 19 (31.1) | | | |
| Aspartate aminotransferase increased | 0 | 16 (30.8) | 2 (22.2) | 18 (29.5) | | | |
| Decreased appetite | 1 (50.0) | 15 (28.8) | 2 (22.2) | 17 (27.9) | | | |
| Hypoalbuminaemia | 1 (50.0) | 15 (28.8) | 1 (11.1) | 16 (26.2) | | | |
| Abdominal pain | 1 (50.0) | 11 (21.2) | 1 (11.1) | 12 (19.7) | | | |
| Alanine aminotransferase increased | 0 | 11 (21.2) | 2 (22.2) | 13 (21.3) | | | |
| Constipation | 1 (50.0) | 8 (15.4) | 4 (44.4) | 12 (19.7) | | | |
| Diarrhoea | 1 (50.0) | 10 (19.2) | 2 (22.2) | 12 (19.7) | | | |
| Dyspnoea | 0 | 10 (19.2) | 1 (11.1) | 11 (18.0) | | | |
| Nausea | 0 | 10 (19.2) | 1 (11.1) | 11 (18.0) | | | |
| Hypertension | 0 | 8 (15.4) | 2 (22.2) | 10 (16.4) | | | |
| Back pain | 0 | 9 (17.3) | 0 | 9 (14.8) | | | |
| Oedema peripheral | 0 | 8 (15.4) | 1 (11.1) | 9 (14.8) | | | |
| Pyrexia | 0 | 7 (13.5) | 2 (22.2) | 9 (14.8) | | | |
| Abdominal distension | 1 (50.0) | 5 (9.6) | 2 (22.2) | 7 (11.5) | | | |
| Dehydration | 0 | 8 (15.4) | 0 | 8 (13.1) | | | |
| Dizziness | 0 | 7 (13.5) | 1 (11.1) | 8 (13.1) | | | |
| Vomiting | 0 | 6 (11.5) | 2 (22.2) | 8 (13.1) | | | |
| Arthralgia | 0 | 6 (11.5) | 1 (11.1) | 7 (11.5) | | | |
| Dysphagia | 0 | 3 (5.8) | 4 (44.4) | 7 (11.5) | | | |
| Hyperbilirubinaemia | 1 (50.0) | 6 (11.5) | 0 | 6 (9.8) | | | |
| Hypokalaemia | 0 | 6 (11.5) | 1 (11.1) | 7 (11.5) | | | |
| Hypophosphataemia | 0 | 7 (13.5) | 0 | 7 (11.5) | | | |
| Hypocalcaemia | 0 | 5 (9.6) | 1 (11.1) | 6 (9.8) | | | |
| Musculoskeletal pain | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 2 (22.2) | 6 (9.8) | | | |
| Myalgia | 1 (50.0) | 5 (9.6) | 0 | 5 (8.2) | | | |

Table 2. Treatment-emergent adverse events occurring in 5% or more patients receivingDKN-01 + pembrolizumab by anti-PD-1/PD-L1 status.

24

Author Manuscript Published OnlineFirst on September 4, 2021; DOI: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-21-0273 Author manuscripts have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet been edited.

| Proteinuria | 0 | 5 (9.6) | 1 (11.1) | 6 (9.8) |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Weight decreased | 0 | 5 (9.6) | 1 (11.1) | 6 (9.8) |
| Cough | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 1 (11.1) | 5 (8.2) |
| Dyspnoea exertional | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 1 (11.1) | 5 (8.2) |
| Hyperglycaemia | 0 | 5 (9.6) | 0 | 5 (8.2) |
| Insomnia | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 1 (11.1) | 5 (8.2) |
| Muscular weakness | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 1 (11.1) | 5 (8.2) |
| Thrombocytopenia | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 1 (11.1) | 5 (8.2) |
| Ascites | 1 (50.0) | 3 (5.8) | 0 | 3 (4.9) |
| Asthenia | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 0 | 4 6.6) |
| Blood creatinine increased | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 0 | 4 (6.6) |
| Dry skin | 0 | 3 (5.8) | 1 (11.1) | 4 (6.6) |
| Fall | 0 | 3 (5.8) | 1 (11.1) | 4 (6.6) |
| Gastrooesophageal reflux disease | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 0 | 4 (6.6) |
| Hypotension | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 0 | 4 (6.6) |
| Influenza like illness | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 0 | 4 (6.6) |
| Non-cardiac chest pain | 0 | 4 (7.7) | 0 | 4 (6.6) |
| Oral candidiasis | 0 | 3 (5.8) | 1 (11.1) | 4 (6.6) |

| | 300 mg DKN-01 + Pembro | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | 150 mg DKN-01 + Pembro (N=2) | Anti-PD-1/ PD-L1-Naïve (N=50) | Anti-PD-1/PD-L1- Naïve, GEJ/GC (N=32) | Anti-PD-1/PD- L1-Refractory (N=9) | All 300 mg DKN- 01 + Pembro (N=59) | |
| Best Overall Response, n (%) | | | | | | |
| Complete Response (CR) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Confirmed CR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Partial Response (PR) | 0 | 5 (10.0) | 5 (15.6) | 0 | 5 (8.5) | |
| Confirmed PR | 0 | 4 (8.0) | 4 (12.5) | 0 | 4 (6.8) | |
| Stable Disease (SD) | 1 (50.0) | 12 (24.0) | 8 (25.0) | 4 (44.4) | 16 (27.1) | |
| Progressive Disease (PD) | 1 (50.0) | 27 (54.0) | 14 (43.8) | 5 (55.6) | 32 (54.2) | |
| Not Evaluable (NE) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Not Done/Missing | 0 | 6 (12.0) | 5 (15.6) | 0 | 6 (10.2) | |
| Objective Disease Response ^a | 0 | 5 (11.4) | 5 (18.5) | 0 | 5 (9.4) | |
| 95% CI ^b | (0.0, 84.2) | (3.8, 24.6) | (6.3, 38.1) | (0.0, 33.6) | (3.1, 20.7) | |
| Confirmed Objective Disease Response ^{a,c} | 0 | 4 (9.1) | 4 (14.8) | 0 | 4 (7.5) | |
| 95% CI ^b | (0.0, 84.2) | (2.5, 21.7) | (4.2, 33.7) | (0.0, 33.6) | (2.1, 18.2) | |
| Objective Disease Control ^d | 1 (50.0) | 17 (38.6) | 13 (48.1) | 4 (44.4) | 21 (39.6) | |
| 95% CI ^b | (1.3, 98.7) | (24.4, 54.5) | (28.7, 68.1) | (13.7, 78.8) | (26.5, 54.0) | |
| Confirmed Objective Disease Control ^{c,d} | 1 (50.0) | 15 (34.1) | 11 (40.7) | 3 (33.3) | 18 (34.0) | |
| 95% CI ^b | (1.3, 98.7) | (20.5, 49.9) | (22.4, 61.2) | (7.5, 70.1) | (21.5, 48.3) | |
| Median Duration of Response, weeks | NA | 23.9 | 23.9 | NA | 23.9 | |
| 95% CI ^b | NA | (6.7, NA) | (6.7, NA) | NA | (6.7, NA) | |

Table 3. Clinical response in patients receiving DKN-01 + pembrolizumab.

^a Objective disease response is defined as the number of patients with a BOR of CR or PR divided by the number of patients with an evaluable posttreatment response. ^b 95% confidence interval (CI) is calculated based on the exact Clopper-Pearson formula for binomial proportions.

^c Requires a confirmed response. Confirmed CR is defined as an overall response finding of CR followed by a subsequent overall response finding of CR at least 4 weeks later. Confirmed PR is an overall response finding of either PR followed by a subsequent overall response finding of PR or CR at least 4 weeks later or CR followed by a subsequent overall response finding of PR at least 4 weeks later. For BOR of SD, confirmation is defined as SD duration of at least 6 weeks.

^d Objective disease control rate is defined as the number of patients with a best overall response of CR, PR, or SD divided by the number of patients with an evaluable post-treatment response.

Table 4. Progression-free survival and overall survival in patients receiving DKN-01 + pembrolizumab.

| | 300 mg DKN-01 + Pembro | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | 150 mg DKN-01 + Pembro (N=2) | Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Naïve (N=52) | - Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Naïve, GEJ/GC (N=34) | Anti-PD-1/PD-L1- Refractory (N=9) | All 300 mg DKN-01 + Pembro (N=61) | | |
| Progression-free Survival (Weeks) ^a , n | 2 | 52 | 34 | 9 | 61 | | |
| Median(95% CI) | 15.7 | 6.0 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.0 | | |
| 95% CI for Median | 3.1, 28.3 | 5.7, 8.7 | 5.7, 12.0 | 3.0, 12.1 | 5.9, 10.0 | | |
| Q1, Q3 | 3.1, 28.3 | 5.3, 18.3 | 5.4, 22.1 | 6.0, 12.1 | 5.3, 13.4 | | |
| Min, Max | 3.1, 28.3 | 0.1, 54.1 | 0.1, 54.1 | 3.0, 13.4 | 0.1, 54.1 | | |
| Number of Events | 2 (100.0) | 45 (86.5) | 27 (79.4) | 8 (88.9) | 53 (86.9) | | |
| Number of Censored Events | 0 | 7 (13.5) | 7 (20.6) | 1 (11.1) | 8 (13.1) | | |
| Probability of Survival (Std Err) by Time ^b | | | | | | | |
| 6 Months | 0.50 (0.354) | 0.19 (0.058) | 0.25 (0.080) | 0.00 | 0.17 (0.052) | | |
| 12 Months | 0.00 | 0.04 (0.036) | 0.10 (0.063) | 0.00 | 0.04 (0.032) | | |
| Overall Survival (Weeks) ^a , n | 2 | 52 | 34 | 9 | 61 | | |
| Median (95% CI) | NA | 20.4 | 22.1 | 19.0 | 20.4 | | |
| 95% CI for Median | 7.1, NA | 14.4, 31.6 | 14.4, 42.4 | 10.7, 37.4 | 16.0, 28.6 | | |
| Q1, Q3 | 7.1, NA | 11.0, 43.7 | 11.1, 43.7 | 13.4, 37.4 | 11.1, 43.7 | | |
| Min, Max | 7.1, 84.9 | 2.0, 63.0 | 2.0, 63.0 | 10.7, 53.9 | 2.0, 63.0 | | |
| Number of Events | 1 (50.0) | 37 (71.2) | 25 (73.5) | 8 (88.9) | 45 (73.8) | | |
| Number of Censored Events | 1 (50.0) | 15 (28.8) | 9 (26.5) | 1 (11.1) | 16 (26.2) | | |
| Probability of Survival (Std Err) by Time ^b | | | | | | | |
| 6 Months | 0.50 (0.354) | 0.39 (0.070) | 0.41 (0.087) | 0.44 (0.166) | 0.40 (0.065) | | |
| 12 Months | 0.50 (0.354) | 0.25 (0.068) | 0.24 (0.084) | 0.15 (0.133) | 0.23 (0.061) | | |

a Estimates based on Kaplan-Meier methodology.

b Standard errors computed using Greenwood's formula.

Figure 1. Study flow diagram

Figure 2. Outcomes for DKN-01 + pembrolizumab in GEJ/GC patients who are anti-PD-1/PD-L1-naïve by DKK1 RNAscope H-score among patients who had tumoral DKK1 mRNA expression available. Best overall response in the response-evaluable population (n=25)(A, B). Kaplan-Meier estimates of progression-free survival (C) and overall survival (D) in the safety analysis population (N=31). Upper tertile defined as \geq 35 DKK1 H-score derived from the safety analysis population (N=31).

Figure 1.



*One of the three patients assigned to 150 mg DKN-01 + pembrolizumab received 300 mg DKN-01 and was included in the 300 mg DKN-01 + pembrolizumab arm. Downloaded from mct.aacrjournals.org on September 8, 2021. © 2021 American Association for Cancer Researc

```
Figure 2.
```





Molecular Cancer Therapeutics

Safety, Efficacy, and Biomarker Results from a Phase Ib Study of the Anti-DKK1 Antibody DKN-01 in Combination with Pembrolizumab in Advanced Esophagogastric Cancers

Samuel J. Klempner, Johanna C. Bendell, Victoria Meucci Villaflor, et al.

Mol Cancer Ther Published OnlineFirst September 4, 2021.



| E-mail alerts | Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal. |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Reprints and Subscriptions | To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org. |
| Permissions | To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link http://mct.aacrjournals.org/content/early/2021/09/04/1535-7163.MCT-21-0273. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site. |